

Travis County Commissioners Court Agenda Request

Meeting Date: June 19, 2012, Voting Session Prepared By: Elected/Appointed Official/Dept. Head:

John Rabb, HRMD, 854-2742 Diane Poirot, HR Director 854-9165 Leslie Browder, County Executive-PBO 854-8679 Judge Biscoe

Item 7

Sponsors:

AGENDA LANGUAGE:

Consider and take appropriate action on the following employee healthcare items for Fiscal Year 2013 plan year, effective October 1, 2012.

- A. Discuss and approve contribution levels for Travis County Employee Health Plan for FY 13
- B. Discuss and approve FY 13 Health Benefit plan design changes
- C. Discuss and approve defaulting of employees that do not complete entire open enrollment process to Employee Only CEPO coverage and Employee Basic Life

BACKGROUND/SUMMARY OF REQUEST AND ATTACHMENTS:

TRAVIS COUNTY EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN FY 13 PLAN YEAR RENEWAL

On February 16, 2012, HRMD Benefits Staff as well as Management staff throughout the County were presented with the Plan Executive Report from United Healthcare. This Executive Report provided an analysis of the prior plan year costs, claim experience, plan trends and high dollar cost drivers. In March of 2012, Milliman, the plan actuary, provided HRMD Benefits Staff with the initial actuary report indicating a higher than expected trend, driven by the high claim cost on the Travis County EPO Health Plan. After analyzing all of the available information, HRMD Benefits Staff recognized the need for plan design changes to mitigate future over-utilization of benefits by increasing out-of-pocket expenses and moderate increase in premiums.

Choosing to make no benefit design changes, Option 1, to the FY 12 benefit levels for the EPO, or PPO and Co-Insured EPO plans, would have required a \$4.48 million increase to the County Contribution. This was not a viable option as it would not have addressed the adverse trend reported in the EPO plan.

HRMD Benefits Staff presented the Benefits Committee with its analysis, the latest available actuary data, and several plan options. The Benefits Committee recognized that increased funding will be required from the County, employees and retirees for FY 13 health plan. This will only be the second time in the last six years that the plan has required a rate increase. The most recent increase was in FY 11 and the last time before that was for FY 07. The Benefit Committee recognized the limited availability of resources in FY 13 and the need to further refine the plan to promote long term stability. The Benefits Committee discussed and weighed all of the various options carefully resulting in the recommendation of Option 2, which appears below, for approval. The recommended

increases required from the County, employees and retirees have been minimized by the recommended plan design changes in order to balance reasonable contribution costs and out of pocket expenses.

Option 1 (Status Quo – No Plan Design Changes)

If no benefit plan design changes are made, the increase in contributions is as follows:

- County Contribution Increase of \$4,476,082 is based on:
 - o 7.68% increase for Active employees,
 - o 6.96% increase for Retirees under 65,
 - o 6.21% increase for Retirees 65 and older
 - Anticipated new retirees added to the plan during FY 13.
 - Employee Contribution Increase
 - o 6.82% rate increase paid by Active employees
 - o 6.68% rate increase paid by under 65 Retirees
 - o 6.60% rate increase paid by Retirees 65 and older

The Benefits Committee carefully weighed this option, however this option was not recommended given the cost implications to the County and the employees.

Option 2 (Recommended Plan)

With the recommended benefit plan design changes outlined in Attachment 3, the increase in contributions is as follows:

- County Contribution Increase of \$3,549,854 is based on:
 - o 5.68% increase for Active employees,
 - 4.95% increase for Retirees under 65,
 - o 3.97% increase for Retirees 65 and older
 - Anticipated new retirees added to the plan during FY 13.
- Employee Contribution Increase
 - o 4.63% rate increase paid by Active employees
 - o 4.63% rate increase paid by under 65 Retirees
 - o 5.00% rate increase paid by Retirees 65 and older

The FY 13 cost to the County for Option 2 is approximately \$926,000 less than Option 1. PBO has included the cost for Option 2 in their planning parameters for the FY 13 budget process. This option was recommended since the committee felt that this solution struck a balance between what was affordable for FY 13 and continues to address long-term cost considerations including the over utilization of certain benefit plan features.

BENEFIT ACTION ITEM INFORMATION AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

A. Discuss and approve contribution levels for Travis County Employee Health Plan for FY 13

- Attachment 1 Summary of the contribution levels required for employees and the County with no plan design changes Option 1
- Attachment 2 Summary of contribution levels required for employees and the County with recommended plan design changes Option 2
- Attachment 3 Active Employee FY 13 Contributions
- Attachment 4 Under 65 Retiree FY 13 Contributions
- Attachment 5 65 and Over Retiree FY 13 Contributions
- Attachment 6 Recommended FY 13 Plan Design Changes

Recommendation: Approve employee and county contribution levels as proposed in <u>Option 2</u>

- B. Review and approve FY 13 Health Benefit plan design changes
 - Attachment 3 contains the proposed plan design changes used to determine rates in Option 2

Recommendation: Approve benefit plan changes as shown in Option 2

C. Approve defaulting of employees that do not complete entire open enrollment process to Employee Only CEPO coverage and Employee Basic Life

Recommendation: Approve

Note: this is the last open enrollment using County Open Enrollment system, next year it will be done on the SAP system, and the processes will be different.

ISSUES AND OPPORTUNITIES:

Staff believes that employee education will continue to be key to the success of the health plan program on an ongoing basis. If the employees respond and take ownership of the this on-going education, collectively plan participants can reduce the rate of future increases to the pharmacy and medical plan and empower participants to be good healthcare consumers on an ongoing basis.

HRMD Benefits Staff continues to monitor and follow the legislative process for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA). Plan design changes are not in conflict with the provisions in the Act.

FISCAL IMPACT AND SOURCE OF FUNDING:

The fiscal impact to the FY 13 budget for the recommended Option 2 to the General Fund is \$3,549,584. PBO believes that this amount can be accommodated within the available resources for FY 13.

REQUIRED AUTHORIZATIONS:

Human Resources ManagementDiane PoirotHuman Resources ManagementDan MansourHuman Resources ManagementCindy PurintonPlanning and Budget OfficeLeslie BrowderCounty Judge's OfficeCheryl AkerCommissioners CourtGillian Porter

Option 1 (Not recommended) - Summary of Increased Contribution Rates with No Plan Design Changes

County	FY 12 Composite Rate	FY 13 Composite Rate	Increase
Increase for Active Employees	\$696.08	\$749.52	7.6%
Increase for Retirees under 65	\$1,273.45	\$1,362.07	6.96%
Increase for 65 and Older Retirees	\$368.43	\$391.31	6.21%
Increase in County Contr	\$4.48 Million		

*Increase in County Contribution funding includes additional funds for 150 new retirees.

Employee Contribution Increases	% Increase In Employee Contributions
Increase for Active Employees	6.82 %
Increase for Retirees under 65	6.68 %
Increase for 65 and Older Retirees	6.60 %

	FY 12	FY 13	Increase	
-		•		

Option 2 – Increased Contribution Rates with Plan Design Changes Summary

County	Composite Rate	Composite Rate	Increase
Increase for Active Employees	\$696.08	\$735.63	5.68 %
Increase for Retirees under 65	\$1,273.45	\$1,336.53	4.95 %
Increase for 65 and Older Retirees	\$368.43	\$383.06	3.97 %
Increase in County Conti	\$3.55 Million		
*Increase in County Contribution fund	dina includos addit	ional funds for	150 now

*Increase in County Contribution funding includes additional funds for 150 new retirees.

Employee Contribution Increases	% Increase In Employee Contributions
Increase for Active Employees	4.63 %
Increase for Retirees under 65	4.63 %
Increase for 65 and Older Retirees	5.00 %

Attachment 3 (Recommended)

PROPOSED FY2013 RATES- A					CTIVES	
FY2013 County Subsidy -	Employee subsidy	Dependent subsidy	Dependent subsidy	Dependent subsidy	Dependent subsidy	Dependent subsidy
EPO	85%	65%	76%	69%	62%	60%
PPO	100%	79%	91%	84%	75%	73%
Coinsured EPO	100%	84%	96%	89%	80%	77%
FY2013 Total Premium- per employee per month	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+aduit +Child	Emp+adult +Children
EPO	\$666	\$1,416	\$909	\$1,270	\$1,798	\$2,160
PPO	\$563	\$1,174	\$759	\$1,054	\$1,489	\$1,784
Coinsured EPO	\$563	\$1,104	\$714	\$992	\$1,398	\$1,675
County Cost (per employee per month)	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children
EPO	\$563	\$922	\$687	\$882	\$1,116	\$1,296
PPO ···································	\$563	\$922	\$687	\$882	\$1,116	\$1,296
Coinsured EPO	\$563	\$922	\$687	\$882	\$1,116	\$1,296
Employee Contributions- Proposed (per employee per month)	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children
EPO	\$103	\$494	\$222	\$388	\$682	\$864
PPO	\$0	\$252	\$72	\$172	\$373	\$488
Coinsured EPO	\$0	\$182	\$27	\$110	\$282	\$379
FY12 Employee Premiums (prior year)	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children
EPO	\$98	\$471	\$212	\$371	\$652	\$826
PPO	\$0	\$240	\$69	\$165	\$357	\$467
Coinsured EPO	\$0	\$173	\$26	\$105	\$270	\$363
Amount of Monthly Increase to Employee	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children
EPO	\$5	\$23	\$10	\$17	\$30	\$38
PPO	\$0	\$12	\$3	\$7	\$16	\$21
Coinsured EPO	\$0	\$9	\$1	\$5	\$12	\$16
Employee Percent of change		Constanting and the second				and and an and a second
EPO	5.10%	4.88%	4.72%	4.58%	4.60%	4.60%
PPO	0.00%	5.00%	4.35%	4.24%	4.48%	4.50%
Coinsured EPO	0.00%	5.20%	0.00%	4.76%	4.44%	4.41%
			Proposed County per emp per mon	· ·	\$	735.63

Active Rate Changes for Option 2 – With Plan Design Changes

Under 65 Rate Changes for Option 2 - With Plan Design Changes

Proposed FY2013 RATES- UNDER AGE 65 Retirees							
FY2013 County Subsidy -	Retiree subsidy	Dependent subsidy	Dependent subsidy	Dependent subsidy	Dependent subsidy	Dependent subsidy	
EPO	79%	65%	75%	71%	60%	54%	
PPO	86%	76%	84%	81%	70%	64%	
Coinsured EPO	91%	81%	90%	87%	75%	69%	
FY2013 Total Premium- per ret/ree per month	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children	
EPO	\$1,612	\$2,286	\$1,837	\$2,115	\$2,510	\$2,788	
PPO	\$1,470	\$1,969	\$1,636	\$1,843	\$2,136	\$2,343	
Coinsured EPO	\$1,387	\$1,835	\$1,535	\$1,720	\$1,983	\$2,167	
County Cost- (per retiree per month)	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children	
EPO	\$1,268	\$1,495	\$1,382	\$1,495	\$1,495	\$1,495	
PPO	\$1,268	\$1,495	\$1,382	\$1,495	\$1,495	\$1,495	
Coinsured EPO	\$1,268	\$1,495	\$1,382	\$1,495	\$1,495	\$1,495	
Retiree Contributions-FY 2013 (per retiree per month)	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children	
EPO	\$344	\$791	\$455	\$620	\$1,015	\$1,293	
РРО	\$202	\$474	\$254	\$348	\$641	\$848	
Coinsured EPO	\$119	\$340	\$153	\$225	\$488	\$672	
Previous FY12 retiree Premiums	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children	
EPO	\$329	\$755	\$435	\$592	\$969	\$1,235	
РРО	\$193	\$453	\$243	\$332	\$612	\$810	
Coinsured EPO	\$114	\$325	\$146	\$215	\$466	\$642	
Retiree	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children	
EPO	\$15	\$36	\$20	\$28	\$46	\$58	
PPO	\$9	\$21	\$11	\$16	\$29	\$38	
Coinsured EPO	\$5	\$15	\$7	\$10	\$22	\$30	
Percent of change		in the standards			Chive Colors //energy	S Shewater Heating	
EPO	4.56%	4.77%	4.60%	4.73%	4.75%	4.70%	
PPO	4.66%	4.64%	4.53%	4.82%	4.74%	4.69%	
Coinsured EPO	4.39%	4.62%	4.79%	4.65%	4.72%	4.67%	
				Proposed Annual County Composite Rate <65	\$	1,336.53	

65 and Over Retiree Rate Changes for Option 2 - With Plan Design Changes

PROPOSED FY2013RATES- OVER AGE 65 RETIREE						
		Dependent	Dependent	Dependent	Dependent	Dependent
FY2013 County Subsidy	Retiree subsidy	subsidy	subsidy	subsidy	subsidy	subsidy
EPO	72%	62%	65%	54%	52%	45%
PPO	86%	76%	79%	67%	65%	56%
Coinsured EPO	91%	81%	84%	72%	70%	61%
Pharmacy only Plan	86%	0%	III. YA			11 7/21 Mar 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
FY2013 Total Premium- per retiree per month	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children
EPO	\$514	\$667	\$643	\$771	\$796	\$925
РРО	\$432	\$545	\$529	\$624	\$643	\$737
Coinsured EPO	\$407	\$510	\$495	\$579	\$596	\$681
Pharmacy only Plan	\$277	\$316				
County Cost- (per ret/ree per month)	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children
EPO	\$370	\$415	\$416	\$416	\$416	\$416
PPO	\$370	\$415	\$416	\$416	\$416	\$416
Coinsured EPO	\$370	\$415	\$416	\$416	\$416	\$416
Pharmacy only Plan	\$239	\$239				
	En Marine Marine	A CONTRACTOR		and a station of		Walks Vietning
Retiree Contributions- FY2013 (per retiree per month)	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children
EPO	\$144	\$252	\$227	\$355	\$380	\$509
PPO	\$62	\$130	\$113	\$208	\$227	\$321
Coinsured EPO	\$37	\$95	\$79	\$163	\$180	\$265
Pharmacy only Plan	\$38	\$77		Conception and	Reconstruction of the	
Previous FY12 Retiree Premlums	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children
EPO	\$137	\$240	\$217	\$339	\$363	\$486
РРО	\$59	\$124	\$108	\$199	\$217	\$307
Coinsured EPO	\$35	\$90	\$76	\$156	\$172	\$253
Pharmacy only Plan	\$37	\$74			•	
	Part States		State State of State	A CARLER OF		
Amount of Monthly Increase to Retiree	Emp only	Emp+1 Adult	Emp+1 Child	Emp+ Children	Emp+adult +Child	Emp+adult +Children
EPO	\$7	\$12	\$10	\$16	\$17	\$23
PPO	\$3	\$6	\$5	\$9	\$10	\$14
Coinsured EPO	\$2	\$5	\$3	\$7	\$8	\$12
Pharmacy only Plan	\$1	\$3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retiree Percent of change						
EPO	5.11%	5.00%	4.61%	4.72%	4.68%	4.73%
PPO	0.00%	4.84%	4.63%	4.52%	4.61%	4.56%
Coinsured EPO	0.00%	5.56%	3.95%	4.49%	4.65%	4.74%
Pharmacy only Plan	2.70%	4.05%				
				unty Composite e >65	\$	383.0

Proposed Plan Design Changes for Option 2

Proposed	EPO	РРО	Colnsured EPO
Benefit Changes	LrU	FFU	
	\$200	\$400 In-network	\$600
Calendar Year Individual Deductible		\$1000 Out of network	
	Increase of \$100	Increase of \$100	Increase of \$100
Calendar Year family Deductible	N/A	\$1000 in-network No Family OON	\$1,500
(Individual Ded x 2.5)		Increase of \$100	No change
Out of Pocket Maximum	N/A	\$2,500 In-network \$3,500 Out of Network	\$2,500
		Increase of \$500	Increase of \$500
Family Out of Pocket Maximums	N/A	\$5,000 In-Network \$7,500 Out of Network	\$5,000
(Individual x 2)		Increase of \$1,000	Increase of \$1,000
Ambulance	\$100 copay/use No ded/coins	\$100 copay/use No ded/coins	\$100 copay/use No ded/coins
Anibulance	Currently must meet ded/coins	Currently must meet ded/coins	Currently must meet ded/coins
	\$100 per day up to 4 days + ded/coins	\$100 per day up to 3 days + ded/coins	\$100 per day up to 2 days + ded/coins
Hospital Admit Copay	(\$1,000 copay max/year)	(\$1,000 copay max/year)	(\$1,000 copay max/year)
	Currently \$200 copay Highest cost area	Currently \$200 copay Highest cost area	Currently \$200 copay Highest cost area
	\$100 copay	Ded / coins	Ded/ coins
Out Patient Surgery	Currently \$25 copay Out pt hosp is 2 nd highest cost area	Currently \$25 copay Out pt hosp is 2 nd highest cost area	Currently \$25 copay Out pt hosp is 2 nd highest cost area
Urgent Care Copay	\$45 Currently \$25 Co pay has not increased since 01	\$40 Currently \$25 Co pay has not increased since 01	\$30 Currently \$25 Co pay has not increased since 01